

Portfolio Water Footprinting

Problem Statement

- Current State: Almost all global equity portfolios have significant water risk and no guidelines exist for investors to assess the water risk of their portfolios
- **Desired State:** Widespread portfolio water footprinting by asset owners/managers that incentivizes companies to report on and responsibly manage water, ultimately leading to a global reduction in water risk

Relevance and Impact

- Ceres' mission: Transform the economy to build a sustainable future for people and the planet
- Responsible water management is essential for a sustainable future and water footprinting is important in catalyzing asset owners & asset managers to be more engaged stakeholders on water resource issues

Research & Sensemaking

- Interviewed stakeholders, including water experts & asset owners/managers, to identify root causes for the lack of water footprinting execution
- Identified over 25 existing sources (i.e. WRI, SASB, MSCI, WWF-DEG, Corporate Sustainability Reports) for water data and screened publicly available data for consistency and availability to develop tool factors

Root Cause Analysis

Strategic

- No clear shared goals, incentives, or workflows for investors to perform portfolio water footprints
- Stakeholder interests often conflict (investors versus corporations)
- Organizations (and teams within) have different perspectives on the importance of water risk management

Political Cu

Cultura

Recommendations

- Build a high-level, simple, & transparent tool for portfolio water footprinting based on publicly available data that targets asset owners in the "novice" to "aspiring" water awareness category
- Incorporate flexibility in factor weighting to build trust with users & limit # of factors to minimize the time required to evaluate a company
- Use the tool to pressure companies to report water data as no reporting leads to a score of 0 in each respective category

		SASB	SASB Corporate Sustainability Report, Corporate Website, CDP								CDP	WRI		
PORTFOLIO NAME	% of Portfolio AUM	IM/actewater		of water mgmt risks	investment	related	Reports water usage data	Reports water discharge data	Number of Incidents of Non- Compliance	Reports water mgmt data to CDP	l water iii	who has the highest level of direct responsibility for water?	Regiona Overall	Company
Recmd. Weight	-	Conditional	10	2	3	4	5	6	9	10	1	2	/10	
Actual Weight		Conditional	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	/ 5	
							No	Overall data				Upper /		
Company A	33%	Material	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Reporting	only	< 5	No	Yes	Management	0	.8 72%
							Reduction	Reduction						
Company B	33%	Material	Yes	No	No	No	data only	data only	> 10	No	Yes	C-Suite	0	.5 45%
Company C	34%	Immaterial	Yes	Yes	No	No	No reporting	Reduction data only	5 Portfolio Geographies					
												Country 1		0%
									Company		Region	WRI Score	% Busin	
									Company A			1 (Low)		50 0%
Total Portfolio, AUM									Company			3 (Medium to High) 4 (High)		100 15 ERALL
(should = 100%)	100%								Company		keriya 5	4 (111511)		DF TFOLIO S CORE
Portfolio Score by Factor			67%	67%	67%	67%	80%	6 90%	6 57%	34%	5 10	0% 90%	77	% 72%

Next Steps

- Test the tool against portfolios to evaluate performance & identify bugs
- Adjust factor weights according to Ceres' expert knowledge & automate factor weighting based on each company's GICS classification
- Disseminate the tool to potential users for testing & feedback, after which the tool can be optimized & shared with the greater target audience

