Improving Patient Access at MIT Medical

C. Gatmaitan, S. Stempek, G. Stoner, S. Subramanian

H-Lab 2019





Introduction

MIT Medical

- Location: Cambridge, USA
- Multispecialty group practice that serves MIT • students and employees and their families
- Employs ~300 people and is both a provider and insurer

The Challenge

- **Improving access** to primary care services ٠
- Current 3rd next available for primary care appointments can be a matter of weeks
- Lack of standardization of provider schedules and/or inefficient matching of support staff
- Diverse patient population with varying ٠ needs and expectations for interaction with their provider
- Cultural history of being "provider-centric" and providing flexible work schedules
- No clearly defined access metrics ۲

Methods

Team Recommendations

- Team-let structure planned as 4 providers, 2 nurses, and 2 MA's per team
- Co-location, communication, schedule alignment and within teams
- Task delegation between roles to move many followup and chronic care tasks to nurses and MA's
- Onsite admin time

Waiting Room Observations

- Observing check-in time, • time called back, and checkout time
- Different times of day and • different days of the week
- Goals: To assess actual • appointment lengths, waiting times, delays in schedule, and variability across days and times

Schedule Template Analysis

- Use quantitative methods to schedule optimize а template for each provider
- Objective function is to maximize total number of appointments
- Model the effect of different constraints, including:
 - *#* of providers
 - *#* of patient-facing hours
 - Meetings & lunch, etc.

Results

Scenarios

• Current: Status quo

Appointment Length Analysis

Call Back to Departure (Actual Clinical Visit Length) 50

Optimizing Supply of 40-minute Appointments

Access Improvements:

MIT Medical Primary Care is moving towards care, which will team-based require significant schedule reconfiguration

The Practice

- providers, with varying patient-facing 18 hours
- 20 and 40-minute appointments
- Each provider also has administrative time and some have administrative roles as well

Background & Approach

Developing the Approach

- Interviewing stakeholders about access issues, scheduling practices, team structure, and patient and provider needs
 - Clinicians and clinician leaders
 - Nurses and nursing leaders
 - Patient service representatives (PSRs)
 - Administrative leaders
- Conducting a literature review of best 2. practices in primary care scheduling
 - Open access scheduling
 - Maximizing "top of license" work for all team members with task delegation
 - Optimizing appointment lengths
 - Optimizing placement of short vs long appointments within day
 - Optimizing scheduled vs same-day appointments to manage demand on different days of the week



Schedule Optimization Analysis

Access Improvement % Improvement by Scenario 25.0% 20.09 15.0% 10.09 *Optimized with 25% 40-5.09 minute appointments

• 7: Scenario 6 +1 full-time physician, at 28 patient-facing hours • 8: Scenario 6 +2 full-time physician, at 28 patient-facing hours

• Baseline: Near future staffing changes and minimal constraints

• 1: -8 patient-facing hours for one full-time physician

• 3: +1 full-time physician at 28 patient-facing hours

• 4: +2 full-time physicians, at 28 patient-facing hours each

• 5: +2 hours of patient-facing time added to each provider

• 6: -4 hours for one full-time physician, +1 hour of meetings

• 2: 2 hours of weekly meetings added

Constraints

- < 7 patient facing hours per provider per day
- 12-1 pm blocked for lunch for all providers
- 20 minute appointment slots from 8-11:40 am and 1-4:40 pm
- < 10 patients at one time by the number of rooms
- Full-time providers



- collection Continuing data and definition of metrics
- Characterizing supply and demand 3.
 - Supply
 - Based on # of providers, # of patient-facing hours, lengths of appointments
 - Having more short appointments can increase access
 - Demand
 - Based on requests for appointments, scheduled and same-day, and types of appointments
 - Quantification with data is necessary

prioritized for contiguous patientfacing hours

Conclusions and Future Directions

<u>Summary of Recommendations</u>

- 1) Realignment of schedules within the team structure for best communication and coordination, along with task delegation to maximize "top of license" work
- 2) Minimization of 40-minute appointments
- 3) Minimization of additional provider-specific schedule constraints

We would like to thank everyone at MIT Medical as well as our team mentor Don Triner and the H-Lab course staff.

4) Data collection for demand estimation

Future Directions

- 1) Incorporation of demand data into schedule optimization
- 2) Re-evaluation of assignment of appointment lengths to minimize 40-minute appointments
- 3) Including patient feedback about ideal appointment lengths
- 4) Measuring impact of team-based structure
- 5) Getting stakeholder buy-in for schedule coordination

References & Acknowledgments

Laurant, M et al., "Nurses as Substitute for Doctors in Primary Care," Cochrane Database Syst. Rev., 2018. Qual., 2018. True, G et al., "Teamwork and Delegation in Medical Homes: Primary Care Staff Perspectives in the Veterans Health Wagner, E et al., "Effective Team-based Primary Care: Observations from Innovative Practices," BMC Fam. Pract., 2017. Altschuler, J et al., "Estimating a Reasonable Patient Panel Size for Primary Care Physicians With Team Based Task Administration," Journal of General Internal Medicine, 2014. Flinter, M et al., "Registered Nurses in Primary Care: Emerging New Roles and Contributions to Team-based Care in High Performing Practices," *J. Ambul. Care Management*, 2017. Sinsky, CA et al., "In Search of Joy in Practice: A Report of 23 High-Functioning Primary Care Practices," Annals of Family Delegation," Annals of Family Medicine, 2012. High Performing Practices," J. Ambul. Care Management, 2017. Ansell, D et al., "Interventions to Reduce Wait Times for Primary Care Appointments: A Systematic Review," BMC Health Wiesche, L et al., "Strategies for Interday Appointment Scheduling in Primary Care," Health Care Management Science, Medicine, 2013. Day, J et al., "Quality, Satisfaction, and Financial Efficiency Associated With Elements of Primary Care Practice Service Res., 2017. 2017. Transformation: Preliminary Findings," Annals of Family Medicine, 2013. Whittaker, W et al, "Associations Between Extending Access to Primary Care and Emergency Department Visits: A Murray, M et al., "Case Studies in Advanced Access," JAMA, 2003. Bodenheimer, T et al., "Innovations in Primary Care in the United States," British Medical Journal, 2003. Gupta, D et al., "Appointment Scheduling in Health Care: Challenges and Opportunities," IIE Transactions, 2008. Difference in Difference Analysis," PLoS Med., 2016. Kaplan, G et al., "Health Care Scheduling and Access: A Report from the IOM," JAMA, 2015. Mehrotra, A et al, "Implementing Open Access Scheduling of Visits in Primary Care Practices: A Cautionary Tale," Ann. Oh, H-J et al., "Guidelines for Scheduling in Primary Care Under Different Patient Types and Stochastic Nurse and American Academy of Family Physicians et al., "Joint Principles of the Patient-Centered Medical Home," 2007. Provider Service Times," IIE Transactions on Healthcare Systems Engineering, 2013. Ostbye, T et al., "Is There Time for Management of Patients With Chronic Diseases in Primary Care?," Annals of Family Int. Med., 2008. Medicine, 2005. Duhigg, LM et al., "Does having open access to care improve patient experience? A case control study.", Am. J. Med. O'Malley, AS et al., "Electronic Health Records and Support for Primary Care Teamwork," JAMIA, 2015.