

The Geography of Mortgage Lending in Times of FinTech.

MIT Golub Center Conference on

The Future of Housing Finance: Diverse Challenges, Innovative Solutions 15 October 2020

Christoph Basten(University of Zurich, SFI, & CESifo)Steven Ongena(University of Zurich, SFI, KU Leuven, & CEPR)



Outline

- 0. Topic and Setup
- 1. Market Concentration
- 2. Risk Management
- 3. Automation
- 4. Conclusion



0. Topic and Setup



A Web Platform for Mortgage Lending without Branches

- Study bank lending decisions on Swiss <u>Web Platform</u> Comparis
- In 2008-13 **households** could apply for mortgages, specifying household finances, object intended to buy, amount, fixation period
- Then got responses from several banks (including those with no branches there):
 - Offer vs. Rejection
 - Conditional on Offering, the **Price**
- Analyze these 2 dimensions to infer how this depends on, and affects:
 - 1. Competition
 - 2. Banks' Risk Management / Portfolio Diversification
 - 3. Automation and thereby operational costs



1. Market Concentration



Hypothesis 1: Lower Prices to More Concentrated Markets

- In basic oligopolistic version of Monti-Klein model of banking (see Freixas and Rochet, 2008) banks optimize lending & deposit businesses separately, for 1 period
- More realistically, clients have switching costs (Beggs and Klemperer, 1992; Sharpe, 1990; von <u>Thadden, 2004</u>; <u>Freixas&Rochet, 2008</u>) → clients get package for >1 period
- Then follow-on business more lucrative in less competitive local markets



<u>Hypothesis 1:</u> Expect **Higher offer propensities**, and **lower margin** offers, the **more concentrated** (sic) the local mortgage market is so far.



Methodology 1: Instrument for Market Concentration

- Unobservable regional attractiveness could bias relation between prior concentration and current offer behaviour
- Response: Instrument concentration (HHI for mortgage growth in 2010) with 2009 market shares of "Swiss Big Two" UBS and CS from SNB website
- Both suffered severe losses in **US subprime crisis in 2007-8**
- Irritated Swiss households withdrew many deposits
- So Big Two had to cut new lending
- In cantons where Big 2 bigger, this reduced market concentration more ...



Results 1 on Market Concentration

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Offer	Price	Offer	Price	Offer	Price
HHI	0.78***	-0.54***	1.20***	-0.57***	1.51***	-0.50***
I(LTV≥67%)	-0.05*	0.05***	-0.05*	0.05***		
I(LTV≥80%)	-0.85***	0.03***	-0.86***	0.03***		
I(LTI≥4.5)	-0.18***	0.00	-0.18***	0.00		
I(LTI≥5.5)	-0.85***	0.03***	-0.86***	0.03***		
I(New Mortg.=1)	0.10***	0.02***	0.10***	0.02***		
House price growth	-1.40*	0.09	-0.92	-0.05		
Number of Web						
Providers	0.02***	-0.01***	0.02***	-0.01***		
Ln(Total Assets)	0.06***	-0.05***				
Mortgages/TA	0.02***	-0.00***				
Deposits/TA	-0.02***	0.00***				
Equity/TA	0.04***	0.02***				
Constant	-0.46*	1.67***	0.67**	1.20***		1.02***
d(Offer)/d(HHI)	0.18***		0.28***		0.35***	
Observations	25,125	20,583	25,113	20,583	24,428	20,583
Estimation	IV	IV	IV	IV/	2SRI	IV/
	Probit	Probit	Probit	IV	Logit	IV
Bank FE	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year*Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
HH Group FE	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

2 outcomes, 3 specifications...

Confirm H1: 0.1 unit rise in HHI (US DoJ distinction of high vs. low concentration) raises offer propensities by 2-3% and cuts prices by 5bps

More pronounced for young, firsttime borrowers and amounts>1mio



2. Risk Management



Hypothesis 2 on Geographical Diversification

- **Pro diversification: Portfolio theory** says can **lower bank risk** by adding assets whose returns are imperfectly correlated with those of existing portfolio; Empirical evidence e.g.:
 - <u>Goetz-Laeven-Levine (JFE, 2016)</u>: Banks more (deposit-)diversified have less volatile stock prices
 - <u>Quigly & Van Order (JPubEc, 1991)</u>: Mortgage portfolios riskier if less regionally diversified
- Con 1: Concentration may allow better screening (e.g. Loutskina & Strahan, RFS 2011)
- Con 2: Also allows internalizing liquidation externalities (Favara & Giannetti, JF 2017)
- But analyze **standardized market** where collateral value estimated with same **hedonic model for entire country** anyway, hence posit:

<u>Hypothesis 2:</u> Higher offer propensity and lower margin offers when unemployment rates (hence PDs) or house prices (hence LGDs) in client canton **less correlated** with those in bank's canton.



Methodology 2: Exploit unique N*N Setup

- Regressions on Market Concentration HHI could use only HH Group FE (defined by LTV*LTI*New*Year*Month) due to collinearity with HHI
- But now can include both lender and borrower fixed effects
- Fairly unique to see responses from different lenders to each household...
- So may interpret correlations as exogenous and need no instrument



Results 2 on Risk Management

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Offer	Price	Offer	Price	Offer	Price
Unemp. Compl.	1.36***	-0.33***	0.64***	-0.24***	2.41***	-0.25***
HHI	0.17	-0.39***	0.49*	-0.43***		
I(LTV≥67%)	-0.05*	0.05***	-0.05*	0.05***		
I(LTV≥80%)	-0.84***	0.02***	-0.85***	0.03***		
I(LTI≥4.5)	-0.18***	-0.00	-0.17***	0.00		
I(LTI≥5.5)	-0.86***	0.03***	-0.86***	0.03***		
I(New Mortg.=1)	0.09***	0.02***	0.09***	0.02***		
Ln(Total Assets)	0.03**	-0.04***				
Mortgages/TA	0.02***	-0.00***				
Deposits/TA	-0.01***	0.00*				
Equity/TA	0.07***	0.01***				
Constant	0.90***	1.31***	1.67***	0.85***		0.72***
d(Offer)/d(Compl.)	0.32***		0.15***		0.10*	
Observations	25,060	20,533	25,048	20,533	9,689	20,533
Estimation	Probit	OLS	Probit	OLS	Logit	OLS
Bank FE	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year*Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
HH FE 15 10 2020	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

Confirm H2:

1SD (0.07 units) rise in complementarity increases Pr(Offer) by about 2% and cuts prices by about 2bps.

Similar results for house price complementarity.

Diversifying via web lending can be alternative to securitization or bank holding companies.



3. Automation



Hypothesis 3 on Automation

- Following <u>Cerqueiro et al (2011)</u>, can use <u>Harvey (1976)</u> model of *multiplicative* heteroscedasticity to analyze how much bank decisions deviate from rules
- Estimate (bank-specific) rules, then relate squared deviations to correlates of interest

Hypothesis 3: Expect more automation for offers ...

(a) ... to safer applicants: Lower LTV, lower LTI, more standard collateral.

(b) ... from banks which are larger or more mortgage-specialized.

(c) ... submitted by banks with **more web experience**.



Strategy 3 on Automation

- Following Harvey (1976) and Cerqueiro et al (2011), we estimate:
 - Mean Equation: "rule" for offer and pricing decisions
 - Variance Equation: relate log of squared residuals ("discretion") to regressors

 $ln(u_{h,b}^{2}) = \alpha + \beta X_{h} + \gamma X_{b} + \delta(HHI_{h}) + \theta(Complementarity_{h,b}) + \mu(Experience_{h,b}) + \varepsilon_{h,b}$



Results 3 on Automation

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Offer	Spread	Offer	Spread	Offer	Spread
	Discretion	Discretion	Discretion	Discretion	Discretion	Discretion
I(LTV≥67%)	0.05	0.53***	0.05	0.38***		
I(LTV≥80%)	0.62***	-0.01	0.70***	-0.00		
I(LTI≥4.5)	0.21***	0.03	0.24***	0.02		
I(LTI≥5.5)	0.56***	0.01	0.62***	0.06		
I(New Mortg.=1)	-0.20***	-0.04	-0.25***	-0.02		
Ln(Total Assets)	-0.05**	-0.15***				
Mortgages/TA	-0.02***	-0.03***				
Deposits/TA	0.02***	0.02***				
Equity/TA	-0.08***	0.03				
HHI	-0.80**	-0.66	-1.25***	-1.15	-1.34***	-0.77
HP Growth	-1.76***	-0.50	-1.78***	-1.86*	-0.10	0.00
Number						
Providers	-0.04***	-0.04**	-0.05***	-0.08***	-0.04***	-0.03*
Unemp. Compl.	-1.67***	-1.40*	-1.03***	1.25	-1.11***	-0.10
Experience	-0.02**	0.00	0.00	-0.11***	-0.08***	0.07
Constant	-1.61***	-1.80*	-2.29***	-2.28**	-1.99***	-3.12***
Bank FE	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year*Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
HH FE	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes

ConfirmH3:Moreautomation for:

- Safer borrowers
- Bigger / more mortgage
 -focused lenders
- Each 1'000 responses sent out

 $\sqrt{0.11} = 0.33\%$ less offer and $\sqrt{0.08} = 0.28\%$ less pricing discretion

Results shown here use one rule, but robust to bank-specific rules...



4. Conclusion



Conclusion

- FinTech web platforms match banks with borrowers they would not meet else
- With unique data, show how this changes lending behaviour
- Key findings:
 - 1. Borrowers benefit from more offers and lower prices
 - 2. Banks improve regional diversification of mortgage portfolio
 - 3. Business more automated (more efficient) for larger banks and safer clients
- NB: The net benefits of these changes are likely to vary by setup
- We deem them positive in our setup of standardized lending with good hard info, but they could be less positive the more soft information continues to matter...